HUMINGOREM

From: Bonry A. Eiseinger

Subject: MILITARY PROGRAM

Heerpaper reports speak of the fact that if the present crisis passes, our forces will be reduced by some 140,090 men and levelled off at fourteen divisions. I do not want to get into the question of what the correct force levels may be. However, I have serious doubts about graring our military budget so immediately to specific crises. If every crisis is answered by a military build up and every relaxation of tensions by a reduction of forces, we will be on a roller conster, preventing stability both in our military plenning and in our diplomacy. During a crisis, we will give a needless impression of bellicosity and panic.

Ne will create excessive disquiet within the country and among our Allies. We will be under pressure to produce a showdown or size to make unnecessary congestoms as a budgetary period ends. Puring period of temporary calm, the Teduction of forces may lead to an illumory cuboris.

The whole course would seem to be to decide on the force which we can maintain over an indefinite period of time and which are emphile of dealing with most foreseeable crises without additional mobilitation unless we should be engaged in actual hostilities. I am nuggetting that mobilisation should follow and not praced a military confrontation. This was the reason why I opposed celling in reserves behond those we were willing to maintain in our permanent military posture.

I have not had an opportunity to study what forces would be appropriate. However, fourteen divisions seem to me too low. In view of the President's speech to the United Mations and the horror of nuclear warfare it implied, a larger conventional establishment seems to me to be essential. Otherwise, we will run the risk of falling between two stools in our military planning.

